REG-118412-10

LEGAL PROCESSING DIVISION
PUBLICATION & REGULATIONS
BRANCH

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: September 07, 2010
Received: August 13, 2010
Status: Posted
Posted: September 07, 2010
Tracking No. 80b2fe24
Comments Due: August 16, 2010
Submission Type: Web

Docket: IRS-2010-0010
Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Coverage Rules Relating to Status as a Grandfathered Health Plan Under the Patient Protection and Afforable Care Act

Comment On: IRS-2010-0010-0001
Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Coverage: Interim Final Rules for Relating to Status as a Grandfathered Health Plan under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

Document: IRS-2010-0010-0768
Comment on FR Doc # 2010-14488

Submitter Information

Name: Gary Konstant
Address:
Watertown, SD,
Organization: Konstant Chiropractic Clinic

General Comment

August 12, 2010

Below are my comments regarding the Interim Final Rule for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Coverage Relating to Status as a Grandfathered Health Plan Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA).
I believe that every effort should be made to increase the number of individuals covered by PPACA, especially as of January 1, 2014 when most of the reform components (Insurance Exchanges, Subsidies, Tax Credits, etc.) come into play.
The average consumer has a broad definition of "benefits." Consumers view their health plan beyond the covered benefits, cost sharing, and the contribution levels associated with the plan. It is my opinion that the Rule may still result in some confusion to the marketplace in its application. For example, if an employer raised the copayment level beyond the maximum in one area (i.e. outpatient services) but retained the copayment level for primary care doctor visits, does that mean a loss of grandfather status? Clarity will be critical both for the employer and the employee.
Even by the most optimistic estimates, a substantial portion of the employee population will remain outside the PPACA as of January 2014 based on current rules. While I understand the difficulty involved in making change, creating another large sub-population of excluded individuals will only add to costs, increase confusion, and mitigate the population impact of the legislation.
The Rule needs to be clear, reasonable, and with no ambiguities involved in determining whether a group health plan is "in or out." The decisions the federal government make today will

determine the outcomes for tomorrow. We may need to make some difficult decisions to create a sustainable health care system that will support a growing economy in the future. That means getting as may citizens across the country participating at teh beginning to make it work and delivering on its promises to retain their support.
Thank you!

Dr. Gary Konstant